

**REMARKS**

Claims 1, 11, and 12 have been amended to replace “OLED” with “organic light-emitting device (OLED)” as suggested by the Examiner.

Claims 1, 3-8, and 10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Bechtel et al. (US 6,873,091).

By this amendment, the subject matter of claim 2 has been incorporated into claim 1. Applicants believe that the Examiner has misapplied the Bechtel et al. reference. Bechtel et al. do not disclose a structure that resonates light. In paragraph 3, lines 46-51 of Bechtel et al. states “At least one of the electrode layers should be transparent or at least translucent”. Bechtel et al. do not mention or suggest that there are two reflectors, a reflector layer and a semitransparent reflector layer. Amended claim 1 has a structure which establishes a resonating light structure. Moreover, Bechtel et al. never appreciated the problem that a resonating structure as set forth in claim 1 can cause an apparent change in color. The yellow filter element defined by amended claim 1 solves this problem and is unobvious in view of the cited art which is not discussed or mentioned.

Claim 9 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bechtel et al. (US 6,873,091) in view of Yu et al. (US 6,873,093). Claim 12 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bechtel et al. (US 6,873,091).

Bechtel et al. has been discussed above. Yu et al. do not disclose a resonating structure. Since claim 2 has been combined into claim 1 and this combination has been indicated to be allowable by the Examiner, this case should now be in condition for allowance, the notice of which is urged..

It is believed that these changes now make the claims clear and definite and, if there are any problems with these changes, Applicants’ attorney would appreciate a telephone call.

In view of the foregoing, it is believed none of the references, taken singly or in combination, disclose the claimed invention. Accordingly, this application is believed to be in condition for allowance, the notice of which is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Raymond L. Owens  
Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No. 22,363

RLO:JMD  
Telephone 585-477-4653  
Facsimile 585-477-4646  
Enclosure

If the Examiner is unable to reach the Applicant(s) Attorney at the telephone number provided, the Examiner is requested to communicate with Eastman Kodak Company Patent Operations at (585) 477-4656.

to Dustin & Paula & Terry prior to mailing